PROTECTIVE ORDER - 1

Norm Maleng, Prosecuting Attorne CIVIL DIVISION Employment Section 900 King County Administration Building 500 Fourth Avenue Seattle, Washington 98104 (206) 296-8820 Fax (206) 205-0447

2005, and March 31, 2005, respectively; or, in the alternative, an order quashing the depositions set for March 28 and March 31, and resetting those depositions on dates that would allow all parties adequate time to prepare for the depositions.

The Court heard the oral argument of counsel for Mr. Logan and Mr.

Huennekens, and counsel for the petitioners and the intervenor-respondent Washington

State Democratic Central Committee, as well as counsel for

________. The Court considered the pleadings filed in this action and the following evidence:

 The Declaration of Donald J. Porter, counsel for Mr. Logan and Mr. Huennekens.

Based on the argument of counsel and the evidence presented, the Court finds:

- The petitioners in this action had previously noted depositions of Mr.
 Logan and Mr. Huennekens for March 15, 2005, and March 17, 2005,
 respectively. Prior to the scheduled depositions, counsel for the
 intervenor-respondent Washington State Democratic Central Committee
 (WSDCC) asked that the depositions be postponed to allow more time for
 the acquisition and review of documents.
- Counsel for petitioners agreed to postpone the depositions, but indicated that they would re-note the depositions no later than the week of March 28, 2005. Petitioners re-noted the depositions for March 28 and March 31, respectively.

- 3. Counsel for the WSDCC has stated that because of ongoing discovery issues the WSDCC is not prepared to take the depositions on the scheduled dates. Counsel for the WSDCC has asserted a right to both cross examine Mr. Logan and Mr. Huennekens at the petitioners' depositions and to set separate depositions of the same witnesses at some later unspecified dates.
- 4. Mr. Logan and Mr. Huennekens are both ready and willing to be deposed on March 28 and March 31, respectively. However, neither Mr. Logan nor Mr. Huennekens believes it is reasonable or appropriate that they be required to submit to multiple depositions by the parties at different times.
- 5. Requiring Mr. Logan and Mr. Huennekens to submit to multiple depositions is unduly burdensome to them personally and to the administration of the King County Records, Elections, and Licensing Services Division.
- 6. The Court finds that Mr. Logan and Mr. Huennekens have shown good cause for entry of a protective order limiting their depositions to a single deposition for each, at which all parties with an interest in questioning Mr. Logan and Mr. Huennekens under oath will be required to proceed.

Based on the above findings, It Is Ordered:

- 1. Mr. Logan's and Mr. Huennekens' motion for a protective order is granted.
- 2. All parties with an interest in questioning Mr. Logan and Mr. Huennekens under oath must do so at a single deposition of each witness.

Norm Maleng, Prosecuting Attorney CIVIL DIVISION Employment Section 900 King County Administration Building 500 Fourth Avenue Seattle, Washington 98104 (206) 296-8820 Fax (206) 205-0447

1	3. The deposition of Dean Logan is to proceed as scheduled on March 28,
2	2005. The deposition of Bill Huennekens is to proceed as scheduled on
3	March 31, 2005.
4	[or, alternatively]
5	3. The depositions set for March 28 and March 31 are hereby quashed. The
6	deposition of Dean Logan shall take place on, or on
7	another date as agreed by the parties. The deposition of Bill Huennekens
8	shall take place on, or as agreed by the parties.
9	DATED: March 25, 2005.
10	
11	HIDGE IOIN E DRIDGES
12	JUDGE JOHN E. BRIDGES
13	PRESENTED BY:
14	NORM MALENG Ving County Programting Attorney
15	King County Prosecuting Attorney
16	Den tot
17	By: /s/ DONALD PORTER, WSBA #20164
18	Senior Deputy Prosecuting Attorney Attorney for Dean Logan and Bill Huennekens
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	